Sp

			0
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/780,036	0,036 KIMMEL ET AL.	
Notice of Allowability	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Charles Goodman	3724	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RI	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in or other appropriate commu IGHTS. This application is so	this application. If not include nication will be mailed in due	ded e course. THIS
1. \boxtimes This communication is responsive to <u>IDS filed on 2/17/04</u> .			
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-12</u> .			
3. \boxtimes The drawings filed on <u>17 February 2004</u> are accepted by the	ne Examiner.		
4. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority una) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have	been received. been received in Application	n No	ation from the
International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received:			
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONN THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.	IENT of this application.		
 A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subm INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give 			NOTICE OF
6. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must	st be submitted.		
(a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers	on's Patent Drawing Review	(PTO-948) attached	
1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date	•	•	
(b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date	s Amendment / Comment or	in the Office action of	
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in t			e back) of
7. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depo attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT	sit of BIOLOGICAL MATE FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIO	RIAL must be submitted. LOGICAL MATERIAL.	Note the
Attachment(s)			
1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5. Notice of Inf	ormal Patent Application (P1	ГО-152)
2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		mmary (PTO-413), ⁄Iail Date	
3. ☑ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No./Mail Date 2/17/04	98), 7. Examiner's A	Amendment/Comment	
4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit		Statement of Reasons for All	lowance
of Biological Material	9. Other	al le Van	h
		CHARLES GOOD TO STIMARY EXAMILE	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-37 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/780,036

Art Unit: 3724

REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

1. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The Prior Art of Record do not anticipate nor do they reasonably suggest the compliant workholder as claimed in claims 1, 5, and 9. The closest prior art, Reynolds (US 2,612,914) teaches a safety device for woodworking machines comprising many of the claimed elements except for that Reynolds lacks the means for urging and a resilient contact element. The contact element (e.g. 22) is resilient to the extent that this element is spring biased by the springs (38). However, this interpretation results in Reynolds lacking a "means for urging" as claimed. On the other hand, the springs may be construed as the "means for urging", but that results in the contact element not being resilient since it is made of steel. It may be argued that any material exhibits a certain degree of "resiliency". But in the context of the claims at bar, a steel or sturdy contact element is outside the bounds of the claims. Furthermore, although at first glance it may appear that another workholder is obvious, the teaching or suggestion would only stem Applicant's prior patent. Thus, it is believed that the claimed invention with the lacking features in combination with the other recited elements are allowable over the prior art of record.

It is noted that with respect to the potential issue of indefiniteness with respect to the separate means for extending and retracting (e.g. claim 1), the Examiner relies on Applicant's prior arguments in the parent application wherein it was the Appplicant's position that the double acting piston and equivalents thereof as disclosed in the specification is the separate means.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/780,036

Art Unit: 3724

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles Goodman whose telephone number is (571) 272-4508. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday between 7:30 AM to 6:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan Shoap, can be reached on (571) 272-4514. In lieu of mailing, it is encouraged that all formal responses be faxed to (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866) 217-9197 (toll-free).

Charles Goodman Primary Examiner

AU 3724

MARLES GOO!

cg // June 27, 2005